Art Unit Examiner 2825 Nghia M. Doan Status of Application: Non-final All Participants: (3) _____. (1) Nghia M. Doan. (4) _____. (2) Peter P. Scott (Reg. 33,279). Time: Date of Interview: 6 June 2006 Type of Interview: ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 10 and 11 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Application No.

10/697,357

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

(Examine SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicant(s)

LAKSHMANAN ET AL.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 06/01/2006, Mr. Peter Scott is informed to correct the figure 3 has a duplicated information in steps [314 and 316], in response Mr Peter Scott agreed to submit a proposed drawing correction. June 06, 2006-In responsive to the examiner indication that claims 10, 11 have minor informalties, Applicant representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the attached examiner's amendment.